Perkin Communications

Stereoselectivity in the Conjugate Addition of Lithium Organocuprate Reagents to α , β -Unsaturated 2-Acyl-2-alkyl-1,3-dithiane 1-Oxides

Philip C. Bulman Page * and Jeremy C. Prodger Robert Robinson Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Liverpool, P.O. Box 147, Liverpool L69 3BX, U.K. Michael B. Hursthouse and Muhammed Mazid Department of Chemistry, Queen Mary College, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, U.K.

 α , β -Unsaturated 2-acyl-2-alkyl-1,3-dithiane 1-oxides undergo diastereoselective conjugate addition by lithium organocuprate reagents. Diastereoisomeric ratios of up to *ca.* 10:1 have been observed in the product mixtures.

The conjugate addition of organocopper reagents to α,β unsaturated acyl derivatives is a most important synthetic transformation,¹ and a number of methods for the asymmetric control of these reactions have been developed.²⁻⁴ We have recently shown that 2-acyl-2-alkyl-1,3-dithiane 1-oxides (1) undergo very highly diastereoselective enolate alkylation ^{5,6} and Grignard addition ⁷ reactions. We are now able to report that racemic α,β -unsaturated 2-acyl-2-alkyl-1,3-dithiane 1oxides (2) undergo conjugate addition with interesting levels of diastereoselectivity. This method has the potential to provide



for asymmetric control of conjugate addition by the use of the dithiane oxide auxiliary/building block in optically pure or enriched form. We are currently examining the enantioselective sulphoxidation of acyl dithianes in our laboratories.^{8,9}

A range of syn and anti α , β -unsaturated 2-acyl-2-alkyl-1,3dithiane 1-oxide conjugate addition substrates were prepared by deprotonation of the appropriate 2-alkyl-1,3-dithiane using butyl-lithium and subsequent reaction with crotonaldehyde, followed by manganese dioxide oxidation and peracid sulphoxidation (Scheme 1). The syn (3) and anti (4) products were readily separated by chromatography. Conjugate addition reactions were carried out using a variety of reagents and reaction conditions; but typically with lithium dibutyl- or diphenyl-cuprate (1 equiv.) in ethereal solution at -78 °C. Ratios of diastereoisomers obtained in a selection of conjugate addition reactions are given in the Table. Product mixtures were analysed after work-up by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy; separation of the diastereoisomers was not usually possible.

As a result of our earlier investigations, 5^{-7} we anticipated that rapid complexation should occur between the organometallic reagent and the enone substrate involving bidentate co-

Scheme 1. Reagents: (i) 1 equiv. BuLi, THF, -20 °C; crotonaldehyde; (ii) 15 equiv. MnO₂, CH₂Cl₂, room temp.; (iii) 1 equiv. MCPBA, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C.

ordination of the sulphoxide and carbonyl group oxygen atoms to the counter-ion; $d_{\pi_3}^*$ -complexation of copper could then occur selectively at the less hindered face of the π -system, resulting in a stereoselective conjugate addition.¹⁰ Inspection of molecular models suggests that while conformations containing equatorial sulphoxides should be selective in reactions of both syn and anti substrates, the conformation of the syn substrates containing axial sulphoxide (3a) would not be expected to show much selectivity, and in the case of the anti substrates no chelation is possible in the conformation containing axial sulphoxide (4a). For the syn system, in the equatorial sulphoxide conformation (3b), the bulk of the dithiane ring effectively shields one face of the π -system, the other face being exposed unless a very large 2-alkyl group is present (Scheme 2). For the anti system (4b), only the 2-alkyl substituent is available to hinder reagent approach and selectivity should rise as this group becomes larger (Scheme 3). However, while rationales based upon such transition state models have helped to explain

Table. Conjugate addition to 2-acyl-2-alkyl-1,3-dithiane 1-oxides.

Entry	Substrate type	2-Alkyl group	Reagent	Yield	Ratio of isomers *
a	syn	Me	Bu ₂ CuLi	84	4.3:1
b	syn	Me	Ph ₂ CuLi	95	2.3:1
с	syn	Et	Bu ₂ CuLi	73	10.5:1
d	syn	Et	Ph ₂ CuLi	67	3.2:1
e	syn	Ph	Bu ₂ CuLi	80	6.6:1
f	syn	Ph	Ph ₂ CuLi	70	3.4:1
g	anti	Me	Bu ₂ CuLi	84	2.0:1
ĥ	anti	Me	Ph ₂ CuLi	83	1.2:1
i	anti	Et	Bu ₂ CuLi	50	2.0:1
i	anti	Et	Ph ₂ CuLi	87	4.0:1
k	anti	Ph	Bu ₂ CuLi	75	2.0:1
1	anti	Ph	Ph ₂ CuLi	60	2.3:1

* Measured by ¹H and/or ¹³C NMR spectroscopy.



Scheme 3.

patterns of selectivity observed in other reactions of 2-acyl-2alkyl-1,3-dithiane 1-oxides,⁵⁻⁷ such clear trends are not found in conjugate addition. While selectivity is poor and shows little variation with the 2-alkyl substituent for the *anti* series (4) (invariant at *ca.* 2:1 for butyl addition), some variation is seen



Figure 1. The molecular structure of compound (5), the major product of lithium diphenylcuprate to *syn*-2-but-2-enoyl-2-ethyl-1,3-dithiane 1-oxide, giving the crystallographic numbering scheme.

for the syn series (3), the best result (10.5:1) being observed for butyl addition to the 2-ethyl derivative.

Figures 1[†] and 2[‡] show the molecular structures of (5) and (6), the major products of addition of lithium diphenylcuprate



to the 2-ethyl syn (entry d) and anti (entry j) substrates respectively, determined by single-crystal X-ray analysis. The relative stereochemistry indicated in Figure 1 corresponds to preferential attack at the least hindered side of a transition state as illustrated in (**3b**), containing a *transoid* enone conformation. The relative stereochemistry of Figure 2 would follow from a corresponding attack of (**4b**), but with a *cisoid* enone conformation. However, such a change in preferred reactive enone conformation seems unlikely, and indeed inspection of molecular models suggests that *transoid* enone conformations should be disfavoured in our systems. Further experiments which may shed more light on the mechanisms of these reactions are underway.

In both structures the C_6H_5 groups were refined with idealised geometry.

† Crystal Data for Figure 1.— $C_{16}H_{22}O_2S_2$, M = 310.47, triclinic, a = 9.107(1), b = 10.629(1), c = 8.548(1) Å, $\alpha = 85.78(1)$, $\beta = 92.63(1)$, $\gamma = 100.09(1)^\circ$, U = 811.97 Å³, space group $P\overline{1}$, Z = 2, $D_c = 1.27$ g cm⁻³, $\mu(Mo-K_a) = 3.13$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 332. 2 847 Independent reflections ($\theta \le 25^\circ$) were measured on a Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer with Mo- K_a radiation (graphite monochromator) using $\omega/2\theta$ scans. Of these 1 702 had $|F_o| > 3\sigma(|F_o|)$ and were considered to be observed. The structure was solved by direct methods; the non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the hydrogen atoms isotropically. Refinement converged to give R = 0.0576, $R_w = 0.0690$ [$w^{-1} = \sigma^2(F) + 0.0005F^2$].

‡ Crystal Data for Figure 2.—C₁₆H₂₂O₂S₂, M = 310.47, monoclinic, a = 11.407(1), b = 11.329(1), c = 12.798(1) Å, $\beta = 93.23(4)^\circ$, U = 11 651 Å³, space group $P2_1/C$, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.25$ g cm⁻³, μ (Cu- K_a) = 28.59 cm⁻¹, F(000) = 664.2 800 Independent reflections ($\theta \le 65^\circ$) were measured on a Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer with Cu- K_a radiation (nickel filter) using $\omega/2\theta$ scans. Of these 2 253 had $|F_o| > 3\sigma(|F_o|)$ and were considered to be observed. The structure was solved by direct methods; the non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the hydrogen atoms isotropically. Refinement converged to give R = 0.0421, $R_w = 0.0706$ [$w^{-1} = \sigma^2(F) + 0.001$ 885 F^2].



Figure 2. The molecular structure of compound (6), the major product of lithium diphenylcuprate to *anti*-2-but-2-enoyl-2-ethyl-1,3-dithiane 1-oxide, giving the crystallographic numbering scheme.

Atomic co-ordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See 'Instructions for Authors (1990),' Perkin Trans. 1, 1990, Issue 1.

Acknowledgements

These investigations have enjoyed the support of the SERC. P. C. B. P. is currently a Nuffield Foundation Science Research Fellow.

References

- 1 G. H. Posner, Org. React., 1972, 19, 1.
- 2 G. H. Posner, T. P. Kogan, and M. Hulce, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1984, 25, 383.
- 3 T. Mukaiyama, T. Takeda, and K. Fujimoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1978, 51, 3368.
- 4 F. Leyendecker, F. Jesser, and B. Rubland, Tetrahedron Lett., 1981, 22, 3601.
- 5 P. C. B. Page, D. Westwood, A. M. Z. Slawin, and D. J. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1989, 185.
- 6 P. C. B. Page, S. S. Klair, and D. Westwood, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, in the press.
- 7 P. C. B. Page, D. Westwood, A. M. Z. Slawin, and D. J. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1989, 1158.
- 8 S. H. Zhao, O. Samuel, and H. B. Kagan, Tetrahedron, 1987, 43, 5135.
- 9 O. Bortolini, F. Di Furia, G. Licini, G. Modena, and M. Rossi, Tetrahedron Lett., 1986, 27, 6257.
- 10 E. J. Corey, F. J. Hannon, and N. W. Boaz, Tetrahedron, 1989, 45, 545.

Paper 9/02333J Received 5th June 1989 Accepted 6th October 1989